

# **The Real Anthony Fauci**


## **Bill Gates, Big Pharma, & the Global War on Democracy & Public Health**

*Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. (2021)*

---

*Excerpts & Notes by Bob Evelyn  
Wilmore, Kentucky; 2023.*

---



The Democrats seemed to be in full support of Dr. Fauci throughout the Covid pandemic. But here is one well-known Democrat who is quite vocal about the “dark side” of Fauci, as well as Bill Gates and Big Pharma.

The book is not simply opinions of the author ... it incorporates MANY footnoted references from a host of medical experts and most importantly virus experts. It is truly an eye-opener and reveals that what many touted as “science” was really science as strongly influenced and biased by big money. The power of that big money was used to silence any opposing scientific research and conclusions – making it appear that all of science was on the same page. Far from it!

This is not a witch-hunt that simply seeks to expose Dr. Fauci. It is an account of how the narrative was controlled by those in key positions – especially Fauci, Bill Gates, and a number of others. The author’s motivation is to prevent such improprieties in the future, and to create transparency for the American people.

While I sensed much of what is revealed by instinct as I personally watched the Covid news unfold – I was nonetheless SHOCKED by the magnitude of the deception that was imposed upon the American people.

Following are some key points brought out in the book – but I will highly recommend that you find this book and read it thoroughly. Every American needs this information if they are to be truly informed concerning our present situation.

### **Introduction** (p. xiv - xxiv)

Much of what is included in the Introduction is fleshed out later in the book, with many footnotes and references. But still, this is a good starting point to get the main issues on the table.

The author sets the tone by observing that “the very governmental health regulators, social media eminences, and media companies that idealistic populations relied upon as champions of freedom, health, democracy, civil rights, and evidence-based policy seemed to collectively pivot in lockstep assault against free speech and personal freedoms. Suddenly those trusted institutions seemed to be acting in concert to generate fear, promote obedience, discourage critical thinking, and herd seven billion people to march to a single tune, culminating in mass public health experiments with a novel, shoddily tested and improperly licensed technology so risky that manufacturers refused to produce it unless every government on Earth shielded them from liability. ... mass propaganda and censorship, the orchestrated promotion of terror, the manipulation of science, the suppression of debate, the vilification of dissent, and the use of force to prevent protest.” (p. xiv)

So-called Emergency Orders closed our businesses, schools, and churches, made unprecedented intrusions into privacy, and disrupted our most treasured social and family relationships. Citizens the world over were ordered to stay in their homes. Standing in the center of all the mayhem ... one dominating figure ... Dr. Anthony Fauci. (p. xiv)

The CDC, NIH, and high-level officials (including Fauci) receive annual royalty payments on products they helped develop and usher through the approval process. The FDA receives 45% of its budget from the pharmaceutical industry.

Fauci sought to discredit any who would oppose his opinions. "Attacks on me, quite frankly, are attacks on science." (p. xvii) But there were MANY in the science community that opposed Fauci's conclusions.

Fauci acknowledged to the New York Times that he had twice lied to Americans to promote his agendas – on masks and herd immunity. (p. xvii) This being the case, might he have lied on other points as well?

Under Fauci-dictated policies, the US, with 4% of the world's population, suffered 14.5% of total Covid deaths. (p. xvii)

Fauci's strategies were consistently failing to achieve promised results, as he doggedly elevated Pharma profits and bureaucratic powers over waning public health. His remedies were often more lethal than the diseases they pretend to treat. His Covid prescriptions were no exception. With his narrow focus on the solution of mass vaccination, Fauci never mentioned any of the many other costs associated with his policy directives. (p. xviii)

Fauci's unprecedented quarantine of the healthy would kill far more people than Covid. It would obliterate the global economy, plunge millions into poverty and bankruptcy, and grievously wound constitutional democracy globally. We have no way of knowing how many people died from isolation, unemployment, deferred medical care, depression, mental illness, obesity, stress, overdoses, suicide, addiction, alcoholism, and the accidents that so often accompany despair. (p. xviii)

The lockdown disintegrated vital food chains, dramatically increased rates of child abuse, suicide, addition, alcoholism, obesity, mental illness, as well as debilitating developmental delays, isolation, depression, and severe educational deficits in young children. Some 93,000 Americans died of overdoses in 2020 – a 30% rise over 2019. (p. xix)

His (Fauci's) lockdown predictably shattered the nation's once-booming economic engine, putting 58 million Americans out of work, and permanently bankrupting small businesses. (p. xix)

The very Internet companies that snookered us all with the promise of democratizing communications made it impermissible for Americans to criticize their government or question the safety of pharmaceutical products. These companies propped up all official pronouncements while scrubbing all dissent.

Larry Ellison (Oracle), Bill Gates, and the other members of the government/industry collaboration used the lockdown to accelerate construction of their 5G network of satellites, antennae, biometrical facial recognition, and "track and trace" infrastructure that they, and their government and intelligence agency partners, can use to mine and monetize our data, further suppress dissent, compel obedience to arbitrary dictates, and manage the rage that comes as Americans finally wake up to the fact that this outlaw gang has stolen our democracy, our civil rights, our country, and our way of life – while we huddled in orchestrated fear from a flu-like virus. (p. xx)

Fauci controls the public health bankbook and has shown little interest in funding basic science to determine why many allergies and diseases have been on the increase since he came to power in 1989. Is this because any serious investigation into the sources of the chronic disease epidemic would certainly implicate the powerful pharmaceutical companies and the chemical, agricultural, and processed food multinationals that Fauci and his 20-year business partner, Bill Gates, have devoted their careers to promoting? (p. xxii)

Fauci's failure to achieve public health goals during Covid is not an anomaly ... but consistent with a recurring pattern of sacrificing public health and safety on the altar of pharmaceutical profits and self-interest. In exalting patented medicines he has, throughout his long career, routinely falsified science, deceived the public and physicians, and lied about safety and efficacy. (p. xxiii)

Fauci's fascination with, and generous investments in, "gain-of-function" experiments to engineer pandemic superbugs, gave rise to the possibility that he may have played a role in *triggering* the global pandemic. (p. xxiii)

He exercised a destructive vendetta against scientists who challenge the Pharma paradigm, and he deliberately sabotaged patent-expired remedies to grease the skids for less effective but more profitable remedies. (xxiii)

### **Arbitrary Decrees (Science-Free Medicine)** (p. 1-19)

Medicines were available against Covid – inexpensive, safe medicines – that would have prevented hundreds of thousands of hospitalizations and saved as many lives if only we'd used them in this country. But Fauci and his Pharma collaborators deliberately suppressed those treatments in their single-minded objective – making America await salvation from their novel, multi-billion-dollar vaccines.

Peer-reviewed science offered anemic if any support for masking, quarantines, and social distancing, and Fauci offered no citations or justifications to support his diktats. Both common sense and the weight of scientific evidence suggest that all these strategies, and unquestionably shutting down the global economy, caused far more injuries and deaths than they averted.

Fauci was clearly aware that his mask decrees were contrary to overwhelming science. His emails reveal that he genuinely believed that ordinary masks had little to no effect against viral infection.

A December 2020 comprehensive study of 10 million Wuhan residents confirmed Fauci's January 2020 assertion that asymptomatic transmission of Covid was infinitesimally rare.

Fauci observed in March 2020 that a mask's only real efficacy may be in "making people feel a little better."

Social distancing mandates also rested on dubious scientific footing. Former FDA Commissioner Dr. Scott Gottlieb admitted that the six-foot distancing rule that Fauci and his HHS colleagues imposed upon Americans was arbitrary and not science backed.

Lockdowns of the healthy were so unprecedented that WHO's official pandemic protocols recommended against them.

Fauci regularly expressed his belief that post-infection immunity was highly likely (with occasional waffling), though he took the public position that natural immunity did not contribute to protecting the population. He supported Covid jabs for previously infected Americans, defying overwhelming scientific evidence that post-Covid inoculations were both unnecessary and dangerous.

Fauci inflated the risks of Covid and the safety and efficacy of vaccines to stoke public fear and provoke mass compliance. At the outset of Covid he used wildly inaccurate modeling that overestimated US deaths by 525% The model's author was scammer and pandemic fabricator Neal Ferguson of Imperial College, funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates foundation.

Fauci acquiesced to CDC's selective protocol changes for completing death certificates in a way that inflated Covid deaths. CDC later admitted that only 6% of Covid deaths occurred in entirely healthy individuals.

CDC decided to skip autopsies from deaths attributed to vaccines. This allowed CDC to persistently claim that all deaths following vaccination were unrelated. They also refused to conduct follow-up medical inquiries among people claiming vaccine injuries.

Medicare paid hospitals \$39,000 per ventilator when treating Covid and only \$13,000 for other respiratory infections, providing incentive to classify every patient as a Covid victim.

The public never received facts about fatality rates or age-stratified risks for Covid with the kind of clarity that might have allowed them and their physicians to make evidence-based personal risk assessments. Instead, federal officials relied on vagueness and deception to recklessly overestimate the dangers from Covid in every age group.

Instead of demanding blue-ribbon science and encouraging honest, open, and responsible debate on the science, badly compromised government health officials collaborated with mainstream and social media to shut down discussion. They silenced doctors who offered any early treatments that might compete with vaccines or who refused to pledge unquestioning faith in zero liability, shoddily tested, experimental vaccines.

Fauci's allies and the media counseled Americans to "trust the experts." Such advice is both anti-democratic and anti-science. Science is dynamic. "Experts" frequently differ on scientific questions and their opinions can vary in accordance with the demands of politics, power, and financial self-interest.

All of Fauci's intrusive mandates and his deceptive use of data tended to stoke fear and amplify public desperation for the anticipated arrival of vaccines that would transfer billions of dollars from taxpayers to pharmaceutical executives and shareholders.

Occupied with his single vaccine solution, Fauci did little to tell Americans how to bolster their immune response ... things like avoiding tobacco, getting plenty of sunlight, maintaining adequate vitamin D levels, diet, exercise, losing weight, avoiding sugar and soft drinks, avoiding processed foods and chemical residues (all of which amplify inflammation, compromise immune response, and disrupt the gut biome that governs the immune system. He failed to promote the benefits of zinc which had repeatedly proven its effectiveness in peer-reviewed studies. (Zinc impedes viral replication.)

In April 2021 the Canadian Ontario College of Physicians and Surgeons threatened to delicense any doctor who prescribed non-vaccine health strategies.

According to Yale epidemiologist Harvey Risch, one of the leading global authorities in clinical treatment protocols, Fauci ignored and outright suppressed the early treatment of infected patients. Our objective should have been to devise treatments that would reduce hospitalization and death. We could have easily defanged Covid so it was less lethal than a seasonal flu.

According to Dr. Peter McCullough, we could have dramatically reduced Covid fatalities and hospitalizations using early treatment protocols and repurposed drugs including ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine and many others. McCullough points to hundreds of peer-reviewed studies that showed how early treatment could have averted some 80% of deaths attributed to Covid.

According to Drs. Risch, McCullough and Kory, we could have averted cataclysmic lockdowns and found medicine resources for protecting vulnerable populations while encouraging the spread of the disease in age groups with extremely low risk, in order to achieve herd immunity. They point out that natural immunity, in all known cases, is *superior* to vaccine-induced

immunity, being both more durable (often lasting a lifetime) and with a broader spectrum (protecting against subsequent variants).

Drs. Risch, McCullough, and Kory were among the hundreds of scientists and physicians who expressed shock that Fauci made no effort to identify repurposed medicines, and that he did not consult with treating physicians.

Half the deaths in New York and one-third nationally were among elder care facility residents. According to Risch, Fauci should have equipped both nursing homes and quarantine hospitals with monoclonal antibodies. Instead, he obstructed these institutions from administering that medicine.

According to McCullough, ivermectin (for which we had terrific data) and hydroxychloroquine were two cheap generic medicines that front-line doctors found worked well against Covid. Other meds were being used on the front lines with great effectiveness – azithromycin or doxycycline, zinc, vitamin D, Celebrex, bromhexine, NAC, IV vitamin C, and quercetin.

McCullough points out that Fauci has never published anything on how to treat a Covid patient. And anyone who tries to publish a new treatment protocol will find themselves blocked by the journals that are all under Fauci’s control. Though now he is often censored, the AMA still lists Dr. McCullough’s study as the most frequently downloaded paper for 2020.

The many successes of early treatment by front-line doctors is covered on pages 14-95.

According to Dr. David Brownstein, “Early treatment was the key. We weren’t allowed to talk about it. The whole medical establishment was trying to shut down early treatment and silence all the doctors who talked about successes.”

Meanwhile, according to Dr. Brownstein, we’ve seen lots of really bad vaccine side effects in our patients (strokes, pulmonary embolism, blood clots, Graves disease, death).

Medical institutions lived in fear of Fauci. Fauci made no effort to find effective off-the-shelf cures – and he even suppressed them. Fauci worked with Facebook’s Mark Zuckerberg and other social media sites to muzzle discussion of any remedies.

McCullough’s group is now part of a worldwide network of front-line physicians using repurposed drugs to save lives around the world. These doctors have built networks and information banks outside of the government agency and university control.

### **Hydroxychloroquine** (p. 19-37)

From the outset, hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) and other therapeutics posed a threat to Fauci and Bill Gates’s \$48 billion Covid vaccine project, and especially to their vanity drug remdesivir in which Gates has a large stake. If any FDA-approved drugs like HCQ or ivermectin proved effective against Covid, pharmaceutical companies would not be legally allowed to fast-track their billion-dollar vaccines to market under Emergency Use Authorization.

Fauci has invested \$6 billion in taxpayer money in the Moderna vaccine alone. His agency is co-owner of the patent and stands to collect a fortune in royalties. At least four of Fauci’s hand-picked deputies are in line to collect royalties of \$150,000 per year based on Moderna’s success, on top of the salaries they already receive from the American public.

In the US, the FDA has approved HCQ without limitation for 65 years. For decades, WHO has listed HCQ as an “essential medicine,” proven effective against a long list of ailments. The nations that used HCQ during Covid experienced the lowest mortality rates.

HCQ's critics, largely funded by Gates and Fauci, misinterpreted, misstated, and misreported negative HCQ results by using faulty protocols.

HCQ costs about \$10 per course. Fauci's beloved remdesivir costs over \$3000 per course.

Google quietly scrubbed a memo by Dr. James Todaro that reviewed HCQ as an effective Covid treatment. Google has a long history of suppressing information that challenges vaccine industry profits. Google's parent company Alphabet owns several vaccine companies, and they have lucrative partnerships with all the large vaccine manufacturers.

Facebook, Pinterest, Instagram, YouTube, MailChimp, and virtually every other Big Tech platform scrubbed information demonstrating HCQ's effectiveness, replacing it with industry propaganda generated by one of the Fauci/Gates-controlled public health agencies.

By 2020 Bill Gates exercised firm control over WHO and deployed the agency in his effort to discredit HCQ. Fauci, Gates, and WHO financed a cadre of research mercenaries to concoct some 20 studies, all employing fraudulent protocols deliberately designed to discredit HCQ as unsafe.

Someone persuaded "The Lancet" and "New England Journal of Medicine" to publish two studies portraying HCQ as ineffective and dangerous. But 200 independent scientists quickly exposed both studies as clumsy con jobs. Both finally withdrew their studies in shame.

The capacity of the Pharma overlords to strong-arm the world's top two medical journals, the NEJM and The Lancet, into condoning deadly research and to simultaneously publish blatantly fraudulent articles in the middle of a pandemic, attests to the cartel's breathtaking power and ruthlessness.

But instead of launching an investigation of this fraud by the world's top two leading medical journals and publicly apologizing, Fauci and the medical establishment simply ignored the wrongful conduct and persevered in their plan to deny global populations access to lifesaving HCQ.

After the FDA withdrew its Emergency Use Authorization and posted the fraudulent warning on its website, many front-line doctors across the country continued to prescribe and report strong benefits with appropriate doses of HCQ. In response, Fauci took an unprecedented step to stop doctors from prescribing HCQ. For the first time in American history, a government official was overruling the medical judgment of thousands of treating physicians and ordering doctor to stop practicing medicine as they saw fit. People wanting to be treated in that first critical week of illness and avoid being hospitalized were out of luck as Fauci moved to stop distribution of a medicine that science and experience showed to be effective.

Based on Fauci's pressures, 33 state governors restricted the dispensing of HCQ. State medical licensing boards threatened to bring "unprofessional conduct" charges against non-complying doctors (a threat to their license), and to "sanction" doctors if they prescribed the drug. Hospitals commanded doctors to cease treatment using HCQ beginning June 15, 2020. The FDA blocked access to the millions of doses of HCQ and CQ that drug makers had donated to the Strategic National Stockpile. In June, the WHO (for which Gates is the largest funder after the US, and over which Gates and Fauci exercise tight control) called for the halt of HCQ trials in hundreds of hospitals across the world.

In compliance with WHO recommendations, Switzerland banned the use of HCQ. But after about 2 weeks their death rate tripled until they reintroduced HCQ, after which deaths fell back to their baseline.

Country by country, data consistently linked broader access to HCQ to lower mortality. Even impoverished African nations where "experts" like Bill Gates predicted the highest death rates, had drastically lower mortalities than in nations that banned HCQ.

**Ivermectin** (p. 37-62)

In 2015 two Merck scientists won the Nobel Prize for developing ivermectin (IVM), a drug with unprecedented firepower against a wide range of human parasites. Nature Magazine published a 2020 study reviewing 50 years of research finding IVM highly effective against microorganisms including some viruses and reporting the results in animal studies demonstrating antiviral effects in viruses such as Zika, dengue, yellow fever, and West Nile. A 2020 article reported that lab experiments showed IVM eliminated SARS-CoV-2 in cells in 48 hours. The Peruvian government effectively distributed IVM and reported reductions in deaths in all 25 states. In December 2020, Perus' new president, under pressure from WHO, severely restricted IVM availability and COVID cases rebounded with deaths increasing 13-fold. (p. 38)

As early as March 2020 some front-line ICU and ER doctors began using IVM with HCQ in early treatment protocols. A Miami doctor reported an uptick in recoveries and published an excellent paper in June. Since March 2020 when doctors first used IVM against COVID-19, more than 20 randomized clinical trials confirmed its miraculous efficacy against Covid.

By November 2020 the FLCCC doctors (Front Line Covid Critical Care Alliance) felt there was enough evidence to add ivermectin to their protocols. The data showed the ability of the drug to prevent Covid, to keep those with early symptoms from progressing to the hyper-inflammatory phase of the disease, and even to help critically ill patients recover. (p. 41)

According to Dr. Kory (one of a multitude of leading front-line physicians), "If HCQ and IVM had been widely used instead of systematically suppressed, we could have prevented 75%, or at least 500,000 deaths, and 80% of hospitalizations." (p. 41)

NIH started by providing a "non-recommendation" for ivermectin in January 2021 – in the face of strong evidence of its safety and effectiveness for Covid. NIH shrouded the reasons for reaching this non-recommendation and refused to disclose the panel members. Later, CDC, in response to a FOIA request, disclosed the group's nine members. Three of them had disclosed financial relationships with Merck. (p. 42)

As Fauci moved the US to eliminate all use of ivermectin, other countries were using more of it. Nations whose residents had easy access to the drug invariably saw immediate and dramatic declines in Covid deaths. (p. 42-3)

At one point a WHO researcher (Andrew Hill) testified enthusiastically beside Dr. Kory in favor of ivermectin before an NIH panel, but within a month he made an about-face. He declared that WHO should not recommend IVM without first performing long-term, randomized placebo-controlled studies. "Someone got to him." (p. 46)

The Gates Foundation funded a massive "Together Trial" to test ivermectin, HCQ, and other potential drugs against Covid. Critics accused Gates and the researchers of designing that study to make ivermectin fail. Dr. Lawrie concluded that the techniques used by Hill in his review were deeply flawed, and that he lacked the experience to perform a systematic review or a meta-analysis. Furthermore, while Hill was supposed to be judging the authors of the trial, he treated them as his collaborators. "That's the equivalent of asking the catcher in a baseball team to also play umpire," observed Dr. Kory. (p. 48)

When Dr. Lawrie interviewed Hill to explain his U-turn on ivermectin, which his own analysis found to be overwhelmingly effective, he replied that he was in a "tricky situation" because his sponsors had put pressure on him. He revealed that the sponsor was Unitaid, funded by the

Gates Foundation and several European countries. Unitaid's primary purpose seems to be protecting the patent and intellectual property rights of pharmaceutical companies. The Gates Foundation and Gates personally own large stakes in many pharmaceutical companies. Gates also uses Unitaid to fund corrupt science by tame and compromised researchers like Hill that legitimizes his policy directives to the WHO. (p. 48)

The interview with Hill is recorded on pages 49-53 and is most revealing. Hill acknowledged that Unitaid had a say in the conclusions he reached in his paper.

Dr. Kory gave Senate testimony in December 2020. He described the peer-reviewed science supporting ivermectin. When his testimony went viral, prescriptions for ivermectin from US doctors exploded. Then YouTube scrubbed the Kory video, and Facebook blocked him. In March 2021 the US FDA, the European Medicines Association, and the WHO all issued statements advising against the use of ivermectin for Covid. In August 2021 the CDC ordered doctors to stop prescribing ivermectin. In September 2021 the AMA, the American Pharmacists Association, and the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists called on doctors to immediately stop prescribing ivermectin for Covid. (p. 54-5)

The AMA said ivermectin wasn't "approved" by the FDA for Covid treatment, while they ignored the growing toll of injuries and deaths from Big Pharma's injections. Physicians traditionally had unlimited authority to prescribe FDA-approved medications for any purpose as long as they explain the risks and benefits to their patients. Suddenly the AMA and regulators moved to limit the doctor's authority to treat patients. Doctors who prescribed ivermectin after early September faced growing scrutiny and heavy-handed tactics including censorship, threats to their license and board certification, and other repressive policies from government and medical boards. Pharmacists, including the large chains like CVS and Walmart, refused to fill prescriptions. For the first time in history, pharmacies were telling doctors what they can and cannot prescribe. (p. 55)

Blue Cross would no longer pay insurance claims for ivermectin, and threatened audits of any physician who wrote prescriptions for it.

In a few cases an attorney filed for an injunction to allow a dying patient to get ivermectin. In these cases, the court granted the requests, and the patients began to recover after taking ivermectin.

Merck suddenly discovered "a concerning lack of safety data" regarding ivermectin, once its wonder drug. Interestingly, Merck's patent rights for ivermectin had expired in 1996, and drug companies were producing ivermectin for about 40 cents per dose. And coincidentally, ten days before Merck discovered its ivermectin concerns, they signed a manufacturing partnership for their Covid vaccine. And ... Merck was introducing its new high-cost antiviral drug, molnupiravir, which was a copycat formula with an identical mechanism of action as ivermectin. It would retail for \$700 per course, but only if Merck could kill its cheap rival.

Looking at safety records, ivermectin was thousands of times safer than remdesivir and Covid vaccines.

Smear campaigns against ivermectin were executed by NPR (which had taken \$3 million from the Gates Foundation) and Rolling Stone which wrote about emergency rooms backed up because of "ivermectin horse dewormer." They included a photo which was later revealed as a stock photo from the previous year – a photo of people lined up to get vaccines. And upon review, the hospital mentioned by Rolling Stone said they had not treated any patients for ivermectin overdoses.

But the FDA claimed ivermectin could cause serious harm and death and was highly toxic. CDC issued an emergency memo in August 2021 warning doctors and pharmacists not to prescribe ivermectin.

### **Remdesivir** (p. 63-70)

Remdesivir has no clinical efficacy against Covid according to every legitimate study. Its cost was \$3000 per treatment. It could only be administered thru an IV in the hospital. Hospital nurses dubbed remdesivir as "run-death-is-near."

But NIAID and CDC had just spent \$79 million developing the drug for Gilead, a company in which the Gate Foundation owned a \$6.5 million stake.

The records show that Fauci knew of remdesivir's toxicity when he orchestrated its approval for Covid.

When testing remdesivir in an Ebola study, the trial's Safety Review Board pulled it as it was found to be highly dangerous.

Since remdesivir was only administered in hospital in the later stages of Covid, it did not compete with the vaccine.

Remdesivir cost \$10 per dose to manufacture. Insurance companies were charged \$3120.00 per treatment.

Fauci had a vested interest in remdesivir. He sponsored the clinical trial whose detailed results were not subject to the peer review he demanded for the drugs he considered rivals, like hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin. He simply declared the results as "highly significant" and pronounced remdesivir as the new "standard of care."

Doctors and hospitals that failed to use remdesivir could now be sued for malpractice.

In October 2020 the European Union reports serious side effects with remdesivir. In July 2021 a large Johns Hopkins study reported that remdesivir was not associated with improved survival, but it was associated with longer hospital stays.

Dr. McCullough summarizes: "Remdesivir has two problems. First, it doesn't work. Second, it is toxic and it kills people."

### **The Vaccine** (p. 70-95)

Leading virologists warned that a vaccine could not arrest transmission and would therefore fail to stop the pandemic. Even worse, vaccinated individuals would become asymptomatic carriers and "mutant factories," blasting out vaccine-resistant versions of the disease that were likely to lengthen and intensify rather than shorten the pandemic.

Fauci and Gates claimed that, in theory, they could quickly produce new boosters to combat each new variant. This would be Big Pharma's holy grail. Each new booster would double the revenue from the initial jab. Since NIAID co-owned the patent, the agency stood to make billions. And the good news for Pharma was that all humanity would be permanently dependent on biannual or even triannual booster shots.

Since vaccine makers had immunity from liability, they had little incentive to invest in long-term studies that would be necessary to eliminate the pathogenic priming hazard.

Fauci seemed to have certain strategies in mind.

1. Abort the three-year clinical trials at six months and then vaccinate the control group. This would prevent detection of any long-term injuries.
2. Refuse to fix HHS's designed-to-fail vaccine injury surveillance system (VAERS) which would systematically suppress the reporting of most vaccine injuries.
3. Enlist mainstream and social media companies to make reporting of vaccine injuries and deaths disappear from the airwaves, newspapers, and Internet. Facebook, Google, and TV networks purged doctors and scientists who reported pathogenic priming, and censored reports about vaccine injuries. Emails show that Fauci colluded with Zuckerberg and the social media platforms to censor doctors who reported vaccine failures, harms, and deaths.
4. Fauci allowed CDC to discourage autopsies in deaths following vaccination.
5. Fauci populated the key FDA and CDC committees with NIAID, NIH, and Gates Foundation grantees and loyalists to insure rubber-stamp approvals for his vaccines without any long-term injury studies.
6. By vaccinating the entire population, the control group would be eliminated; thereby hiding vaccine injuries.

Interestingly, the Bill Gates-funded fact-checking organization Politifact worked with Pharma-funded fact-checkers like FactCheck.

Baseball superstar Hank Aaron died 17 days after receiving the Covid jab. The New York Times reported that the Fulton County Coroner determined the death was unrelated to vaccines. But when queried, the coroner's office said that no autopsy was performed.

Autopsy reports from other nations reveals deaths caused by vaccination.

Those hesitant to be vaccinated were castigated as dangerous public enemies. Universities, schools, businesses, hospitals, public employers, and other societal power centers used strong-arm tactics to compel unwilling Americans to submit to vaccination. They were threatened with discrimination, job loss, exclusion from schools, parks, sports and entertainment venues, bars, restaurants, military service, public employment, travel, and health care.

There was an insistence that vaccinating the entire population was the only path to ending the pandemic. But, of course, this would also eliminate the control group that would thwart any long-term studies.

Overwhelming science has proven that vaccinated or unvaccinated individuals are equally likely to spread disease.

Peer-reviewed studies vouch for the superiority of natural immunity.

The actual data suggests that the Covid vaccines were causing far more deaths than they were averting. In the Pfizer clinical trials, far more people died in the vaccine group than the placebo group.

This should have been enough to close the case on the vaccine. But "captured" FDA officials nevertheless gave their approval. What we seem to be seeing is the breathtaking power of the pharmaceutical industry and its government allies to control the narrative through captive regulators, compliant physicians, and media manipulation.

Fauci learned early in his career to find excuses for abbreviating clinical trials of toxic medications to keep long-term mortalities invisible and to cloud overall cost/benefit assessments.

International databases showed that infection increased following the Covid vaccine. (A country-by-country summary can be seen starting on page 80.)

In August 2021, Fauci, the CDC, and White House officials were reluctantly conceding that vaccination would neither stop illness nor transmission, but they insisted it would protect against severe forms of the disease or death. [HCQ and ivermectin could have accomplished the same objective at a tiny fraction of the cost.]

Biden, presumably with Fauci's prompting, told Americans that 98% of serious cases, hospitalizations, and deaths were among the unvaccinated. But real-world data from nations with high Covid jab rates show the complete converse is the truth. The vaccinated were dying in higher numbers than the non-vaccinated. (Details are provided, beginning at page 82.)

You will not see reports about this alarming phenomenon on mainstream media.

A recent peer-reviewed study found the Covid vaccines kill more people in each age group than they save. (p. 88)

Despite the obstacles to reporting, VAERA recorded nearly 800,000 vaccine-related injuries between December 2020 and October 2021, with 112,000 classified as "serious."

The Pfizer vaccine only gained emergency authorization for use in children because Pfizer manipulated the trial data and committed serious offences. (p. 90)

***[At this point I would pause to ask the question ... if Pfizer (and perhaps others) manipulated studies to gain approval, with a total disregard of the real data, might their veil of immunity from liability be penetrated?]***

An October 2021 study suggests that antibody levels generated by the vaccine can suffer a ten-fold decrease seven months after the second vaccination. This would compromise the body's ability to defend itself against Covid if exposed.

It is estimated that 600 children have died from the Covid vaccine as of September 2021. A recent Lancet study shows that a healthy unvaccinated child has zero risk for Covid.

Dr. Peter McCullough suggests that each vaccinated person is a kind of Typhoid Mary for Covid, spreading concentrated viral loads of vaccine resistant mutants to vaccinated and unvaccinated alike.

### **Pharma Profits over Public Health** (p. 118-123)

In his 50-year career, Fauci has nurtured a complex web of financial entanglements among pharmaceutical companies and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID). NIAID has been transformed into a seamless subsidiary of the pharmaceutical industry.

Fauci has used his \$6 billion annual budget to achieve dominance and control over a long list of agencies and governing bodies, including CDC, FDA, HHS, NIH, the Pentagon, the White House, WHO, the UN, and into the deep pockets of the Clinton and Gates Foundations and Britain's *The Wellcome Trust*.

Fauci has the power to make and break careers, enrich or punish university research centers, and manipulate scientific journals. He has consistently used his power to defund, bully, silence, de-license, and ruin scientists whose research threatens the pharmaceutical paradigm, and to reward those scientists who support him.

[Attesting to the financial contamination of science, long before Covid] ... Seven out of ten ACIP working group committee members who voted to approve the rotavirus vaccine in June 1998 had financial ties to the pharmaceutical companies that were developing different version of the vaccine. (p. 139)

**AIDS** (p. 148-315)

Beginning at page 148, the reader will find an enlightening (though scary) history of Fauci's involvement during the AIDS epidemic. I will share a few of the most impactful excerpts but will encourage the reader to pick up this book and prepare to be shocked. And – this is not just RFK, Jr. sharing his opinion. The book is filled with quotes from many scientists and includes a good amount of detail on the various studies mentioned throughout.

As [Fauci] would later do with hydroxychloroquine during the Covid crisis 30 years later, he designed his clinical trials in a way that would ensure their failure and thus discredit the unpatentable medicine. When the study began to show success in stopping viral replication, he cancelled the trial to be sure it never went to the next phase in testing. (p. 160)

Fauci's entire clinical trial for AZT was an elaborate fraud. (p. 163)

Consensus and appeals to authority (be it CDC, WHO, Bill Gates, Anthony Fauci, or the Vatican) are features of religion, not science. Science is tumult. Empirical truth generally arises from the tilled, agitated, and upturned soils of debate. Doubt, skepticism, questioning, and dissent are its fertilizers. (p. 178)

*[Note: I would object to this comment as it pertains to religion. While religion and matters of faith have been misused in the way described, there is a true religion that adopts the same mechanisms as science in examining evidence, developing hypotheses (theology) and determining truth.]*

With the complicity of a fawning and scientifically illiterate media, Duesberg and others charge that by stifling debate and dissent, Fauci milled public fear into multi-billion-dollar profits for his Pharma partners while expanding his own powers and authoritarian control. (180)

Only later did the public learn that NIH allowed Gallo to delay an announcement until he had personally patented the antibody kit that he claimed capable of detecting HIV. He had developed the test at taxpayer expense. (p. 182)

Pinning the AIDS epidemic on a virus allowed [Fauci] to divert the cascading river of AIDS money from the National Cancer Institute into NIAID's coffers. (p. 184)

It's undeniable that African AIDS is an entirely different disease from Western Aids. No one has ever explained how a disease largely confined to male homosexuals in the West is a female heterosexual disease in Africa. (p. 187)

Fauci leveraged uncertain tests to paint AIDS as a widespread viral plague. (p. 189)

When cases began showing AIDS occurring without HIV, Fauci and the CDC kept this awkward information secret. (p. 193)

Over the years, Fauci has deflected and evaded scientific debate and transformed theories into quasi-religious dogma, punishing and silencing dissent. (p. 203)

**Peter Duesberg** (p. 210-225)

The saga concerning Peter Duesberg is worth a closer look. He was an iconoclastic German-born prodigy born in 1936. In the 1970s and 1980s, molecular biologist Duesberg was a demigod of molecular biology and among the world's best-known and highly respected scientists. The NIH generously supported his virology and cancer research.

Duesberg's queries seemed to create an irresistible bulwark against Fauci's HIV-only hypothesis for AIDS. Even today, his rationales appear so clean, well-crafted, and compelling

that it seems impossible that the HIV-only hypothesis didn't instantly collapse under the overwhelming weight of his logic.

But rather than respond, Fauci simply ignored it, and castigated anyone who credited it. He set about making Duesberg an example to discourage future inquiries. Fauci's fusillade of withering and venomous attacks effectively ended Duesberg's illustrious career.

Blaming AIDS on a virus was the strategy that allowed Fauci's NIAID to claim the jurisdiction and cash flow away from NCI. Fauci's career depended on the universal belief that HIV alone causes AIDS.

The HHS rebuked the NIH for allowing Duesberg's paper to be published. The rebuke stated, "This obviously has the potential to raise a lot of controversy."

Duesberg would soon see his generous stream of NIH research grants run dry. Prior to 1987, NIH never rejected a single one of Duesberg's proposals. After 1987 he wrote over 30 research proposals and NIH refused every one.

Celia Farber observed, "The US military industrial complex – HHS, NIH, NCI, DAIDS – all of it, is designed along military command structure. It is the military. It's not 'science' and it's not 'merit.' Fauci understands this and has mastered the elimination of both dissent and any mercy for the destroyed. It is a "sin" as he has now openly said, to question him – to question science. He has actually said he *is* science."

Farber continued, "I would like Americans to learn who Peter Duesberg is, what his achievements were, on cancer genetics, on aneuploidy, and what became of it. I want them to demand answers. Why did Anthony Fauci set out to defund, bully, censor, and destroy America's premier cancer virologist?"

Mass media largely ignored Duesberg's evidence-based arguments as dangerous apostasies. Fauci showcased his capacity to control his media toadies and mobilize the public health cartel to punish skepticism and dissent.

Fauci personally made sure Duesberg almost never appeared on national television. He intimidated TV networks. In one case, Good Morning America had booked Duesberg and flown him to New York. On the night preceding his appearing he was cancelled.

The scientific press banished Duesberg from publishing. Colleagues reckless enough to defend Duesberg found themselves in a bad way.

For several years, journalist John Lauritsen tried to get *any* scientist at NIH to answer the questions in Duesberg's article. But the orders had come from NIAID that no government scientist should respond.

Fauci's *only* strategy was to act as if his case was so overwhelming that no one should be allowed to question the assertion.

Today, the presumption that HIV is the sole cause of AIDS is the central presumption of a multi-billion-dollar industry. Charles Ortleb observed, "Science costs money and he who dispenses the money can control the science."

### **Returning to AIDS ...** (p. 225-315)

After 1987, Duesberg and his followers argue that the vast majority of AIDS deaths were actually caused by AZT, Fauci's radical "antiretroviral" chemotherapy purposefully concocted to kill human cells.

*[Note: A detailed read of pages 225 and following would serve the reader well. Here we read of cases where individuals that were healthy other than having the HIV virus decided to take Fauci's AZT treatment pre-emptively, for they feared the onset of full-blown AIDS. And many died, all because Fauci insisted on promoting HIV as the sole and inevitable cause of AIDS. Two such high profile cases noted in these pages are Rudolf Nureyev and Arthur Ashe.]*

Fauci's claim that he enforced with every tool in his powerful arsenal is that HIV is a deadly virus, and an HIV positive test puts everybody at equal risk.

When Konstance Knox, PhD made a potentially lifesaving discovery of the efficacy of acyclovir against AIDS, Fauci choked-off any further funding for HHV6 research. (p. 234)

In his farewell address, President Dwight Eisenhower warned our country about the emergence of a Military Industrial Complex that would obliterate our democracy. In that speech he made an equally urgent – although less celebrated – warning against the emergence of a federal bureaucracy which, he believed, posed an equally dire threat to America's Constitution and her values. (p. 253)

In using Africa for his AIDS experiments, Fauci faced a highly negative inspection report at one of the sites. He hired a private consulting group to conduct an investigation and audit pre-emptively, hoping for a white-washed report. But instead, a long list of severe violations was found, including the convenient loss of critical records. The missing records included a vital logbook that appeared to have documented the study's worst atrocities before its mysterious disappearance. The auditors reported pure chaos – drugs given to the wrong babies, altered documents, and infrequent follow-up. (p. 260).

Dr. Fishbein didn't last long in his official capacity as the DAIDS official in charge of enforcing compliance with clinical research and ethical policy. His lethal mis-step was his decision to follow a trail of irregularities affecting a NIAID drug trial called ESPIRIT, which tested interleukin-2 (IL-2), a cancer chemotherapy and AIDS drug, known by its brand name, Proleukin. During the course of his IL-2 investigation, Fishbein stumbled on an awkward fact. Fauci personally owned patents to IL-2 and stood to make millions in royalties.

DAIDS officials had an exchange of frantic emails discussing how to axe Fishbein without implicating Fauci.

Following his dismissal, Fishbein won reinstatement after a determination that his firing was wrongful retribution. But it was clear he had no future at NIH, and he negotiated a termination deal. Fishbein observed, "He [Fauci] spreads so much money around and everyone knows he is vindictive. I had one friend tell me, 'I can't risk hiring you because I can't afford to anger Fauci.' This was my first exposure to cancel culture."

Fishbein further observed, "My experience at the Division of AIDS opened my eyes about how the system really operated. The federal budget is a big trough to feed special interest groups. But if you become wise to it, open your mouth, and get on the wrong side of someone really powerful, they are out for blood." (p. 268-273)

In the thirty years since, no member of the media has ever asked Fauci how much money he made on IL-2, or to which charity, if any, he directed his donations. Nor has Fauci ever disclosed the extent of his personal stakes or the financial returns from his patents on other NIAID drugs, or the royalty amounts he has rewarded to loyal cronies and underlings at NIAID for the thousands of other new drugs the agency developed.

Considering the large amounts of money spent by the federal government, HIV vaccines are an ATM machine for NIH, whether they work or not.

Robert Redfield was President Trump's CDC Director during Covid. He, along with Dr. Deborah Birx, served on the Coronavirus Task Force.

US military documents show that in 1992 Redfield and Birx, his then assistant, published inaccurate data in the New England Journal of Medicine, claiming that an HIV vaccine they helped develop and tested on Walter Reed patients was effective. They both must have known the vaccine was worthless.

In 1992 an Air Force medical office accused Redfield of engaging in a systematic pattern of data manipulation, inappropriate statistical analyses, and misleading data presentation.

Under threat of court martial, loss of medical license, and possible imprisonment, Redfield confessed to angry DOD interrogators and to the tribunal that his analyses were faulty and deceptive. He agreed to correct them and to publicly admit the vaccine was worthless at an upcoming AID conference. But at the conference, instead of retracting his falsehood, he boldly repeated his fraudulent claims. Congress immediately appropriated \$20 million to the military to support Redfield and Birx's research project. The dedicated budget hikes promised by Congress prompted the Army to kill their investigation, silence its own prosecutors, and whitewash Redfield's misdeeds.

### **Gates-Fauci** (p. 283-315)

It's a lengthy and intriguing story and difficult to summarize in few words. Start at page 283 of the book to get the full history. A few snippets ...

Influence peddling fueled Bill Gates's drive to power from the outset. (p. 283)

Gates's and Fauci's approach to public health is mass vaccination. But to assess the effectiveness of their approach, we would need a disciplined accounting that compares health outcomes in vaccinated populations to similarly situated unvaccinated cohorts. This is the kind of accounting that neither of these men has been willing to provide. (p. 289)

In 2017, the Huffington Post observed that the Gates Foundation blurs the boundaries between philanthropy, business, and nonprofits. They cautioned that calling Gates's investment strategy "philanthropy" was causing the rapid deconstruction of the accepted term. (p. 291)

Since shortly after its founding, Gates's foundation has owned stakes in multiple drug companies. (p. 291)

Following the Gates-Fauci handshake, NIH has shifted \$1 billion to Gates's global vaccine programs, at a time when overall NIH budget experienced little growth. Gates needed Fauci to unlock the funding door. (p. 292)

Fauci announced in 2008 the cancellation of the largest human trial to date, involving the HIV vaccine. Fauci admitted to the New York Times that after a decade of effort, scientists realized they did not know enough about how HIV vaccines and the immune system interact. He told the Times that it was becoming clearer that more fundamental research and animal testing would be needed before an HIV vaccine was every marketed. These were stunning admissions, which seemed to validate the critiques by Duesberg and others who predicted the inevitable failure of a vaccine based on the defective HIV/AIDS hypothesis. (p. 295)

### **Miasma vs. Germ Theory** (p. 285-288)

Miasma theory emphasizes preventing disease by fortifying the immune system thru nutrition and by reducing exposure to environmental toxins and stresses. Miasma exponents posit that disease occurs where a weakened immune system provides germs with an enfeebled target to exploit.

Germ theory aficionados blame disease on microscopic pathogens. Their approach to health is to identify the culpable germ and tailor a poison to kill it.

The idea that certain microbes – above all fungi, bacteria, and viruses – are our great opponents in battle, causing certain diseases that must be fought with special chemical bombs, has buried itself deep into the collective conscience.

On his deathbed, Pasteur is said to have recanted, "Bechamp was right – the microbe is nothing. The terrain is everything." (p. 286)

But even for mainstream medicine, it is becoming increasingly clear that the biological terrain of our intestines – the intestinal flora, teeming with bacteria – is accorded a decisive role, because it is by far the body's biggest and most important immune system.

Epidemics rarely occur in affluent societies, because these societies offer conditions (sufficient nutrition, clean drinking water, etc.) which allow many people to keep their immune systems so fit that microbes simply do not have a chance to multiply abnormally.

### **Covid** (p. 297-288)

When revelations that Covid-19 was likely the product of genetic engineering threatened to discredit his empire, Fauci dispatched the handpicked elite of virology's officer corps to draft and sign the editorials published in *Nature* and *The Lancet*, assuring the world that the lab leak hypothesis was a crackpot conspiracy. They effectively silenced debate on Covid's origins for a year. (Details are provided on page 298.)

Fauci's disciples and soldiers understand that as long as they support him, they will continue to benefit from the endless stream of public health booty he controls.

### **Gates** (p. 298-307)

No one ever questioned Gates's pronouncement: "Realistically, if we're going to return to normal, we need to develop a safe, effective vaccine. We need to make billions of doses, we need to get them out to every part of the world, and we need all of this to happen as quickly as possible." (p. 298)

Magical vaccines are Gates's cookie-cutter solution for the ills of poverty, drought, and disease. But the data suggest that Gates's vaccines miss the target altogether. The Gates Foundation are selling technology, not solving problems. Most of their calls have to do with developing some new technology or vaccine.

The Gates Foundation is accountable to no one other than Bill & Melinda Gates and Warren Buffett (Berkshire Hathaway CEO).

Gates's vaccine obsession has diverted WHO's giving away from poverty alleviation, nutrition, and clean water to make vaccine uptake its preeminent public health metric.

The sheer magnitude of his foundation's financial contributions has made Bill Gates an unofficial, unelected leader of the WHO.

Dr. Vandana Shiva, India's leading human rights activist, observed: "Gates has hijacked the WHO and transformed it into an instrument of personal power that he wields for the cynical purpose of increasing pharmaceutical profits." (p. 303)

**Vaccine deaths** (p. 307-308)

When Cicely Tyson, Marvin Hagler, and rapper Earl Simmons (aka DMX) all died soon after taking Covid vaccines, the medical community and CDC rushed in to assure the African American community that the deaths were not vaccine related. Social media and mainstream outlets censored or removed stories that suggested a vaccine association. Gates-funded "fact checker" organizations "debunked" any link.

When Hank Aaron died 17 days after receiving a vaccine, hundreds of news organizations assured the public that the Fulton County Coroner had declared Aaron's death unrelated to the vaccine. But the coroner's office said they had never seen Aaron's body and that his family had buried him without autopsy.

**Gates** (p. 309-315)

The Los Angeles Times found an inverse correlation between dollars spent by Gates's charities and declines in children's health. The nations that get the most Gates money see the worst health outcomes.

Gates has not only reduced public expenditures on basic equipment, lifesaving food and water. He has in the process pulled many of the best health-care workers and researchers away from lifesaving basic care.

Gates's claim that his vaccines have "saved several million lives" offers no proof, no validation, and no accountability. Most of the preeminent decision makers and advisers in the Gates organization are former pharmaceutical industry moguls and regulators who not surprisingly share his pharma-centric worldview.

By 2006 the tsunami of advertising revenues from pharmaceutical firms (about \$4.8 billion annually) had already drowned out most of the voices of vaccine dissent in mainstream media. After the devastating LA Times piece, Gates moved aggressively to neutralize the once-independent press with compromising grants that struggling news organizations couldn't refuse. Gates dispensed at least \$250 million in grants to media outlets including NPR, PBS, The Guardian, BBC, The Atlantic, Gannett, and the New York Times. (Others are mentioned on page 314 that I have not included in this high-profile list.)

Gates has also made large strategic investments in Poynter and the International Network of Fact Checking Organizations, which dutifully "debunks" virtually every public statement that seems critical of Gates, whether accurate or not.

**Gates and Vaccines** (p. 323-349)

None of the mandated childhood vaccines have been tested for safety in pre-licensing inert placebo tests.

Nobody knows the risks of these products, and nobody can say with specificity or certainty that any of Bill Gates's flagship vaccines actually prevent more injuries and deaths than they cause. All of Gates's African vaccines are experimental products – with no control groups and no functional data collection systems.

Pharma still regards Africa as the ideal for testing immunizations, and as a lucrative receptacle for dumping expired and defective stocks. Bill Gates has played a key role in legitimizing this arrangement while collaborating with captive or corrupt WHO officials to scam Western donor nations into footing the bill – and guaranteeing rich profits for pharmaceutical companies in which Gates holds hefty stock positions.

Gates's DTP vaccine, instead of saving 10 million lives as he claims, may have killed millions of African girls. At least seven studies have confirmed DTP's association with high mortality in vaccinated girls, compared to unvaccinated.

Gates and WHO continue to bully African nations into taking their lethal DTP vaccines by threatening to withdraw financial aid to their health departments and HIV programs if the government fails to achieve national uptake targets (90%).

Gates's fetish for reducing population is a family pedigree. His father was a prominent corporate lawyer and civic leader in Seattle with a lifelong obsession for population control.

Gates has made many public statements and investments that reflect his deep dread of overpopulation. He uses coercive tactics to trick people into dangerous and unwanted contraceptive programs. (p. 331)

Gates suggested in his "Innovating to Zero" TED Talk: "If we do a really good job on new vaccines, health care, reproductive health services, we could lower [the population] by, perhaps 10 or 15 percent." (p. 331)

Catholic doctors became suspicious to WHO's departure from the usual tetanus vaccine protocols, instructing Kenyan doctors to give the vaccine in five administrations, six months apart, and only to girls of childbearing years. (p. 336)

In the midst of debates concerning the polio vaccine, HHS, in 1984 (the year Fauci became director of NIAID) quietly pushed thru an astonishing federal regulation: "Any possible doubts, whether or not well-founded, about the safety of the vaccine cannot be allowed to exist in view of the need to assure that the vaccines will continue to be used to the maximum extent consistent with the nation's 'public health objectives.'" (p. 341)

Experts argued that Gates's attempts to total exterminate polio would be counterproductive. Professor Donald Henderson claims: "I can't see myself how we can satisfactorily eliminate the vaccine-derived strains. I just don't think it can be done." (p. 341)

As Henderson predicted, vaccine-derived poliovirus – a mutation of the virus contained in the oral vaccine – came back to bite Gates. Indian doctors blame the Gates campaign for a devastating vaccine-strain epidemic of acute flaccid myelitis – a disease formerly classified as polio. The Indian government dialed back Gates's vaccine regimen and evicted Gates's cronies from the NAB. Polio paralysis rates dropped precipitously. After squandering half of its total budget on the polio epidemic (at Gates's direction), the WHO reluctantly admitted that the global polio explosion is predominantly vaccine strain, meaning it is happening *because* of Gates's vaccine program. (p. 341-2)

Independent scientists and Indian physicians argued against immunizing 25 million babies each year to theoretically prevent 5,000 cases of HCC (hepatocellular carcinoma, a type of liver cancer). Anticancer vaccines are poor performers, and there is not even meager evidence that the vaccine can prevent *any* cancers.

The main causes of death in India are diarrhea, respiratory infections, and malnutrition. Dr. Puliyeel argues, "Should immunization against hepatitis B take priority over provision of clean drinking water?"

The study of Gates's forced introduction of hepatitis B vaccines in India showed that the vaccine did not reduce hepatitis B. And there is a substantial likelihood that the vaccine is increasing the incidence of HCC.

But Gates's opinion was the only thing that counted, and WHO stood firm, taking the position that all countries must include hepatitis B in their immunization program.

Additional details can be found on pages 346-8.

There are many examples of the Gates Foundation prioritizing the mandate for high-cost vaccines in the national immunization programs that Bill Gates effectively controls.

Real-world evidence, including his investments in pharmaceutical, petroleum, chemical, and GMO processed, and synthetic food, suggests that Gates's obsession with vaccines does not evince any genuine commitment to healthy populations. Gates owns investments in 69 of the world's worst-polluting companies. His single-minded obsession with vaccines seems to serve his impulse to monetize his charity and to achieve monopoly control over global public health policy.

### **Epidemics that fizzled** (p. 357-372)

Fauci's critics chide him for routinely exaggerating and even concocting global disease outbreaks to hype pandemic panic, elevate the biosecurity agenda, boost agency funding, promote profitable vaccines for his pharma partners, and magnify his own power. The historical record supports these charges.

1976 Swine Flu. It was insisted that this was the same strain responsible for the 1918 Spanish flu pandemic. Fear was bolstered using the enthused media. CDC claimed that high profile TV star Mary Tyler Moore had taken the jab, but Moore told 60 Minutes she had avoided the shot due to her concerns about side effects and that she and her doctor were very happy she didn't get it. In the end the projected one million deaths did not happen; there was just one death.

When Dr. Morris protested the fraud, his direct superior told him to stand down and not talk about this. When vaccine recipients began reporting adverse reactions, including Guillain-Barre Syndrome, he disobeyed orders and declared there was zero evidence that the Fort Dix swine flu was contagious to humans, and that the vaccine could induce neurological side effects. HHS officials confiscated Morris's research materials, blocked his efforts to publish his findings, and had him fired. The vaccine was so fraught with problems that HHS discontinued the jab after vaccinating 49 million Americans. It was reported that the incidence of flu was seven times greater among the vaccinated than the unvaccinated. Due to the vaccine, there were 500 cases of Guillain-Barre Syndrome, 32 deaths, and more than 400 paralyzations, and as many as 4000 other injuries.

Up until his death in 2014, Dr. Morris remained an outspoken critic of CDC's annual flu shot program. He told the Washington Post in 1979, "It's a medical rip-off ... I believe that given full information, they [the public] won't take the vaccine."

Dr. B.G. Young told the NY Times that NIH's industry-dominated culture at the vaccine division had driven away all the honest regulators – those willing to stand-up to pharma. Fauci, in contrast, is the rare scientist who lasted 50 years at HHS. He has done so largely by aligning himself with NIH's pharma overlords and carrying industry water.

The 1976 swine flu event was the first time the federal government agreed to serve as pharma's insurer. In 1986 the federal government made swine flu vaccine template the model for the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act, which shielded all mandated vaccines from liability.

2005 Bird Flu. Modeler Neil Ferguson predicted up to 150 million people could die. WHO modified the prediction to 2-7 million, and that billions would fall ill worldwide. In the end, 282 died worldwide between 2003 and 2009.

In 2007, British medical journalist John Stone raised the issue of phony pandemics. "There always remains the issue of whether scares are being promoted because of sober assessment of risk or because they constitute another bonanza for the pharmaceutical industry." (p. 361)

In 2008 Fauci confessed that virtually all "influenza" casualties in 1918 did not really die from flu but from bacterial pneumonia and bronchial meningitis, which are, today, easily treated with antibiotics unavailable in 1918. (p. 362)

2009 Hong Kong Swine Flu. NBC forecasted that Swine Flu could strike up to 40% of Americans over the next two years and as many as several hundred thousand could die if a vaccine campaign and other measures aren't successful. According to the European Medicines Agency, Pandemrix (used in the vaccine) caused more than 980 cases of severe neurological injuries, paralysis from Guillain-Barre syndrome, debilitating narcolepsy, and cataplexy. The vaccine was withdrawn.

The 2009 swine flu pandemic was another hyped global contagion fraud that never materialized. Epidemiologist Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg declared it a "false pandemic" and "one of the greatest medicine scandals of the century."

The pharmaceutical companies walked away with billions, sticking governments and taxpayers with the ruinous cost of compensating the flu shot injuries.

2016 Zika. Fauci expended \$2 billion with no functional vaccine. In June 2020 Fauci, under questioning before Congress, sheepishly explained, "It was never brought to full fruition because Zika disappeared."

In 2021, Gates invested \$25 million in a project to genetically modify mosquitoes to stealthily deliver coronavirus vaccines to the vaccine-hesitant. (p. 367)

2016 Dengue. Fauci claimed the widespread appearance of dengue in the continental US was a real possibility. Clinical trials for the vaccine showed signals of "pathogenic priming," which is an enhanced immunity response that can trigger system-wide inflammation and death when the vaccinated individual is re-exposed to the wild virus. But this did not prevent WHO, under Gates's firm control, from accelerating development of the vaccine.

### **Biosecurity** (p. 378-435)

This section of the book is worth reading, just to see what the world's elite have been up to. It's a bit like reading a dystopian novel – and difficult to believe this is happening, and has been happening for over 20 years, in our present age. I'm including just a few short excerpts but be sure to find this book and read for yourself.

In 1999 Dr. Kadlec created several scenarios to demonstrate the US vulnerability to biological attack. He organized a simulation of a smallpox terrorist attack on US soil.

His simulations, and over a dozen that would succeed it over the next 20+ years (many under Bill Gates's direction) shared common features. None emphasized protecting public health by showing Americans how to bolster their immune systems, to eat well, to lose weight, to exercise, to maintain vitamin D levels, and to avoid chemical exposure. None focused on devising vital communications infrastructures and refinement of treatment protocols. None dealt seriously with the need to identify off-the-shelf ("repurposed") therapeutic drugs to mitigate fatalities and to shorten a pandemic's duration. None considered ways to protect the vulnerable. None questioned the efficacy of masks, lockdowns, and social distancing in reducing casualties. None showed concern for preserving constitutional rights during a global pandemic.

Instead, the simulations war-gamed how to use police powers to detain and quarantine citizens, how to impose martial law, how to control messaging by deploying propaganda, how to employ censorship to silence dissent, and how to mandate masks, lockdowns, and coercive vaccinations and conduct track-and-trace surveillance among potentially reluctant populations. (p. 382)

In June 2019, about 20 weeks before the start of the Covid pandemic, Dr. Michael Ryan, executive director of the WHO's health emergencies program, summarized the conclusions of the Global Preparedness Monitoring Board's pandemic report. He warned that "we are entering a new phase of high impact epidemics" that would constitute "a new normal" where governments worldwide would strengthen control and restrict the mobility of citizens. (p. 422)

In October 2019, just three weeks after US intelligence agencies believe that Covid had been circulating in Wuhan, Bill Gates directed a cabal of potentates and institutions that compose the Biosecurity Cartel to prepare decision makers for the mass eviction of informed critics of the vaccine industry from social media. That month, Gates personally organized another training and signaling exercise for government biosecurity functionaries.

At Gates's direction, the participants role-played members of a Pandemic Control Council. They drilled a retinue of psychological warfare techniques for controlling official narratives, silencing dissent, forcibly masking large populations, and leveraging the pandemic to promote mass vaccinations. Once again there was no talk of building or fortifying immune systems, existing off-the-shelf remedies, or off-patent drugs and vitamins. There was *abundant* talk about expanding government's authoritarian powers, imposing draconian restrictions, curtailing traditional civil rights which might include assembly, free speech, private property, jury trials, due process, and religious worship. And there was talk of promoting and coercing the uptake of new, patentable, antiviral drugs and vaccines. (p. 425)

Medical ethics advocate Vera Sharav questions, "It's a public health problem. Why are the military and the CIA so heavily involved? Why is everything a secret? Why can't we know the ingredients of these products which the taxpayers financed? Why are all their emails redacted? Why can't we see the contracts with vaccine manufacturers? Why are we mandating a treatment with an experimental technology with minimal testing? Since Covid harms fewer than one percent, what is the justification for putting 100% of the population at risk? We need to recognize that this is a vast human experiment on all mankind, with an unproven technology, conducted by spies and generals primarily trained to kill and not to save lives." (p. 435)

### **Afterword** (p. 446-449)

Kennedy ends the book with a few words of encouragement.

We can bow down and comply – take the jabs, wear the face coverings, show our digital passports on demand, submit to the tests, and salute our minders in the Bio-surveillance State. Or we can say No. We have a choice, and it is not too late.

The problem is endemic corruption in the medical-industrial complex, currently supported at every turn by mass-media companies.

Playwright and essayist C. J. Hopkins writes: "This is the crucial period for the totalitarian movement. It needs to negate the old 'reality' in order to implement the new one, and it cannot do that with reason and facts, so it has to do it with fear and brute force. It needs to terrorize the majority of society into a state of mindless mass hysteria that can be turned against those resisting the new 'reality.' It is not a matter of persuading or convincing people to accept the new 'reality.' It's more like how you drive a herd of cattle. You scare them enough to get them moving, then you steer them wherever you want them to go."

Those controlling the levers of power vilify dissenters and punish every attempt at questioning, skepticism, and debate. They have outlawed gatherings and have forced citizens to wear masks that instill fear and divide communities.

For America, freedom of speech has been the biggest casualty of the emerging tyranny. The now-popular term "misinformation" has come to mean any expression that departs from official orthodoxies. Social media and news media companies serve as stenographers and defenders of any position pronounced by government. The intentional failure of journalistic inquiry, curiosity, and investigation, the failure to probe, to ask tough questions (or any questions) of those in power – has enabled the madness and the sadness of 2020 and 2021. There is a web of motives at work, but I'll cite a simple one:

Big pharmaceutical companies are the biggest advertisers on news and television outlets. Their \$9.6 billion annual advertising budget buys more than commercials – it buys obeisance. (In 2014 network president Roger Ailes told me he would fire any of his news show hosts who allowed me to talk about vaccine safety on air. "Our news division," he explained, "gets up to 70% of ad revenues from pharma in non-election years.")

Forcing an entire population to accept an arbitrary and risky medical intervention is the most intrusive and demeaning action ever imposed by the US Government, and perhaps *any* government. And it is based on a lie. The Director of the CDC, Fauci, and the WHO have all had to reluctantly acknowledge that the vaccines cannot stop transmission.

It is a hopeful sign that halfway into 2021, Orwell's 70-year-old book ("1984") suddenly became a top-20 bestseller in the US. Apparently, more people are aware of what's going on than the powerful give them credit for.

It is ordinary people who can rescue us from tyranny. We can say "No" to compliance with jabs for work. "No" to sending children to school with forced testing and masking. "No" to censored social media platforms. "No" to buying products from companies bankrupting and seeking to control us.

We can no longer "trust the experts" or follow their warped version of science. That's what got us here.

Benjamin Franklin: "Those who would give up essential liberty, to purchase a little temporary safety, deserve neither liberty nor safety." (p. 378)

### **Closing remarks**

As I have said, find this book and read it. Recommend it to everyone you know. This should be read by every American to gain an understanding as to what we're up against. There is another book I would also recommend that echoes much of what the Kennedy book has shared, "Deception; The Great Covid Cover-Up" (2023) by Rand Paul.

*Excerpts selected and notes provided by Bob Evelyn*